

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 MARTICE RANSEY,

8 Petitioner,

2:15-cv-00919-RCJ-NJK

9 vs.

**ORDER**


10 ATTORNEY GENERAL, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.  
12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13  
14 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on October 19, 2015  
15 (ECF No. 11). The petitioner, Martice Ransey, was to respond to the motion to dismiss by  
16 December 21, 2015. *See* Order entered September 24, 2015 (ECF No. 9) (60 days for response).  
17 Ransey did not file a response to the motion to dismiss by that date. The court will, *sua sponte*, grant  
18 Ransey an extension of time, to February 12, 2016, for his response to the motion to dismiss.

19 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the time for petitioner to respond to respondents'  
20 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 11) is extended to **February 19, 2016**. If petitioner does not respond to  
21 the motion to dismiss by that date, the court will rule on the motion without the benefit of a response.  
22 If petitioner files a response to the motion to dismiss, respondents shall then have 30 days to file a  
23 reply in support of the motion.

24 Dated this 5th day of January, 2016.  
25  
26

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE